



TITLE:

# Evolution Equations with Infinite Delay(Structure of Functional Equations and Mathematical Methods)

AUTHOR(S):

Naito, Toshiki; Shin, Jong Son

---

CITATION:

Naito, Toshiki ...[et al]. Evolution Equations with Infinite Delay(Structure of Functional Equations and Mathematical Methods). 数理解析研究所講究録 1997, 984: 147-160

ISSUE DATE:

1997-03

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/60955>

RIGHT:

# Evolution Equations with Infinite Delay

To the Memory of Professor T. Yoshizawa

電通大 内藤敏機 (Toshiki Naito)

朝鮮大 申 正善 (Jong Son Shin)

## 1 Introduction

Suppose that  $A$  is the infinitesimal generator of a  $C_0$  semigroup  $T(t)$  on a Banach space  $E$  with norm  $|\cdot|$ . We consider the evolution equation with infinite delay such that

$$u'(t) = Au(t) + L(u_t), \quad (1.1)$$

where  $u_t$  is a function mapping  $(-\infty, 0]$  into  $E$  defined by  $u_t(\theta) = u(t + \theta)$  for  $\theta \in (-\infty, 0]$ . The operator  $L$  is a bounded linear operator on the phase space  $\mathcal{B}$  into  $E$ .

$\mathcal{B}$  is a Banach space of some functions mapping  $(-\infty, 0]$  into  $E$ . The norm in  $\mathcal{B}$  is denoted by  $\|\cdot\|$ . For a complex number  $\lambda$  and for  $x \in E$  we define a function  $\varepsilon_\lambda \otimes x : (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow E$  by  $(\varepsilon_\lambda \otimes x)(\theta) = e^{\lambda\theta}x$ ,  $\theta \in (-\infty, 0]$ . We assume the following axioms on  $\mathcal{B}$ :

(H-1) There exists a constant  $H$  such that  $|\phi(0)| \leq H\|\phi\|$  for every  $\phi \in \mathcal{B}$ .

(H-2) If a function  $u : (-\infty, \sigma + a) \rightarrow E$  is continuous on  $[\sigma, \sigma + a)$ , and if  $u_\sigma \in \mathcal{B}$ , then

(i)  $u_t \in \mathcal{B}$  for all  $t \in [\sigma, \sigma + a)$  and  $u_t$  is a  $\mathcal{B}$  valued continuous function of  $t \in [\sigma, \sigma + a)$ ,

(ii)  $\|u_t\| \leq K(t - \sigma) \sup\{|u(s)| : \sigma \leq s \leq t\} + M(t - \sigma)\|u_\sigma\|$

for all  $t \in [\sigma, \sigma + a)$ , where  $K(r), M(r), r \geq 0$ , are nonnegative, measurable, locally bounded functions which are independent of  $u$ .

(H-3) If  $\{\phi^n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathcal{B}$ , and if the sequence  $\{\phi^n(\theta)\}$  converges to a function  $\phi(\theta)$  uniformly on every compact interval of  $(-\infty, 0]$ , then  $\phi$  lies in  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\phi^n - \phi\| = 0$ .

(H-4) There exists a constant  $\gamma$  such that  $\varepsilon_\lambda \otimes x \in \mathcal{B}$  for  $\Re \lambda > \gamma$  and  $x \in E$ , and that

$$\|\varepsilon_\lambda\| := \sup\{\|\varepsilon_\lambda \otimes x\| : x \in E, |x| \leq 1\}$$

is finite for each  $\lambda$  with  $\Re \lambda > \gamma$ , and bounded for  $\Re \lambda > \gamma_1$  for some  $\gamma_1 \geq \gamma$ .

We call the constant  $\gamma$  in (H-4) the abscissa of the exponent of the space  $\mathcal{B}$ . The hypothesis (H-3) implies that the integral in  $\mathcal{B}$  is computed from the integral in  $E$  in the following manner.

**Lemma 1.1** *If  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is a continuous function such that  $f(t)(\theta)$  is continuous for  $(t, \theta) \in [a, b] \times (-\infty, 0]$ , then*

$$\left[ \int_a^b f(t) dt \right] (\theta) = \int_a^b f(t)(\theta) dt, \quad \theta \in (-\infty, 0].$$

The growth bound  $\omega_s(T)$ , and the essential growth bound  $\omega_e(T)$  are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_s(T) &:= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \|T(t)\|}{t} = \inf_{t > 0} \frac{\log \|T(t)\|}{t}, \\ \omega_e(T) &:= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \alpha(T(t))}{t} = \inf_{t > 0} \frac{\log \alpha(T(t))}{t}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha(T(t))$  is the measure of noncompactness of  $T(t)$  which is introduced by the Kuratowskii measure of noncompactness of bounded sets of  $X$ , cf [2]. Then the spectral radius  $r_s(T(t))$  and the essential spectral radius  $r_e(T(t))$  are given as  $r_s(T(t)) = \exp(t\omega_s(T))$  and  $r_e(T(t)) = \exp(t\omega_e(T))$ . Let  $A$  be the infinitesimal generator of  $T(t)$ ,  $\sigma(A)$  the spectrum of  $A$ ,  $E_\sigma(A)$  the essential spectrum of  $A$ , and set  $N_\sigma(A) := \sigma(A) \setminus E_\sigma(A)$ . The points in  $N_\sigma(A)$  are called normal eigenvalues of  $A$ .

The important fact for our works is that the following inequalities hold:

$$\beta_s(A) := \sup\{\Re \lambda : \lambda \in \sigma(A)\} \leq \omega_s(T)$$

$$\beta_e(A) := \sup\{\Re \lambda : \lambda \in E_\sigma(A)\} \leq \omega_e(T).$$

The first inequality is well known. The second inequality is proved in Webb [7], and it implies the following theorems.

**Theorem 1.2** *Let  $T(t)$  and  $A$  be as in the above, and suppose that  $\omega_e(T) < \omega_s(T)$ . Then the following results hold:*

(i) *There exists at least one point  $\lambda \in N_\sigma(A)$  such that  $\Re \lambda = \omega_s(T)$ : consequently,  $\beta_s(A) = \omega_s(T)$  and  $N_\sigma(A) \neq \emptyset$ .*

(ii) *For any  $b, \omega_e(T) < b < \omega_s(T)$ , the set  $\sigma(A) \cap \{\lambda : \Re \lambda \geq b\}$  consists of finite normal eigenvalues of  $A$ , and  $\sup\{\Re \lambda : \lambda \in \sigma(A), \Re \lambda < b\} < b$ .*

## 2 Semigroup generated by mild solutions

Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{B}$ . The strong solution of (1.1) through  $(0, \phi)$  is a function  $u : (-\infty, \infty) \rightarrow E$  which has the following properties: (i)  $u_0 = \phi$  and  $u$  is continuous, differentiable on  $[0, \infty)$ , and  $u(t) \in D(A)$  for  $t \geq 0$ ; (ii) (1.1) holds for  $t \geq 0$ . The mild solution of (1.1) through  $(0, \phi)$  is a function  $u : (-\infty, \infty) \rightarrow E$  which has the following properties: (i)  $u_0 = \phi$  and  $u$  is continuous on  $[0, \infty)$ ;

$$(ii) \quad u(t) = T(t)\phi(0) + \int_0^t T(t-s)L(u_s) ds, \quad t \geq 0.$$

By the usual method of successive approximation, we can prove that, for every  $\phi \in \mathcal{B}$ , there exists a unique mild solution through  $(0, \phi)$ ; cf. [3],[5],[6], and the references therein.

Denote by  $u(t, \phi)$  this mild solution. Define the solution operator  $U_L(t)$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  by

$$(U_L(t)\phi)(\theta) = u(t + \theta, \phi), \quad \theta \in (-\infty, 0].$$

Then using the axioms of the phase space, we see that  $U_L(t)$  is a  $C_0$  semigroup of bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{B}$ . Denote by  $A_L$  the infinitesimal generator of  $U_L(t)$ .

In the particular case that  $L \equiv 0$ ,  $U_0(t)$  is given by  $(U_0(t)\phi)(\theta) = T(t + \theta)\phi(0)$ ,  $-t < \theta \leq 0$  and  $(U_0(t)\phi)(\theta) = \phi(t + \theta)$ ,  $\theta \leq -t$ . Set  $K_L(t) = U_L(t) - U_0(t)$ , which is given by  $(K_L(t)\phi)(\theta) = z(t + \theta, \phi)$ ,  $\theta \in (-\infty, 0]$ ,

where

$$z(t, \phi) = \begin{cases} \int_0^t T(t-s)L(u_s) ds & t > 0 \\ 0 & t \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Taking the Laplace transform of  $U_L(t) = U_0(t) + K_L(t)$ , we can compute the resolvent  $R(\lambda, A_L)$ . To describe the result, we introduce the closed linear operator  $\Delta(\lambda)$  as  $\Delta(\lambda)x = (\lambda I - A - L_\lambda)x$ ,  $x \in D(A)$ , where  $L_\lambda x = L(\varepsilon_\lambda \otimes x)$ . It is well defined for  $\Re \lambda > \gamma$ . If  $\lambda \in \rho(A)$ , then we can write  $(\lambda I - A - L_\lambda) = (I - L_\lambda R(\lambda, A))(\lambda I - A)$ . Hence  $\Delta(\lambda)^{-1}$  exists as a bounded linear operator on  $\mathcal{B}$  as long as  $\Re \lambda$  is sufficiently large. Let  $A_0$  be the infinitesimal generator of  $U_0(t)$ .

**Theorem 2.1** *There exists an  $\omega$  such that, if  $\Re \lambda > \omega$ , then*

$$R(\lambda, A_L)\phi = R(\lambda, A_0)\phi + \varepsilon_\lambda \otimes \Delta(\lambda)^{-1}L(R(\lambda, A_0)\phi), \quad \phi \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Since  $\phi = R(\lambda, A_L)(\lambda\phi - A_L\phi)$  for  $\phi \in D(A_L)$ , the equation  $A_L\phi = \psi$  holds if and only if  $\phi = R(\lambda, A_L)(\lambda\phi - \psi)$ . Namely, we can compute  $A_L$  itself from the representation of  $R(\lambda, A_L)$ . To do so, we use the infinitesimal generator  $B$  of the trivial  $C_0$  semigroup  $S(t)$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  defined as  $[S(t)\phi](\theta) = \phi(0)$ ,  $t + \theta \geq 0$ , and  $[S(t)\phi](\theta) = \phi(t + \theta)$ ,  $t + \theta < 0$ .

**Theorem 2.2** *A function  $\phi$  lies in  $D(A_L)$  if and only if  $\phi(0) \in D(A)$  and  $\phi - \lambda^{-1}\varepsilon_\lambda \otimes (A\phi(0) + L(\phi)) \in D(B)$  for some  $\lambda > \omega$ , and*

$$A_L\phi = \varepsilon_\lambda \otimes (A\phi(0) + L(\phi)) + B\left(\phi - \lambda^{-1}\varepsilon_\lambda \otimes (A\phi(0) + L(\phi))\right).$$

*In particular,  $(A_L\phi)(0) = A\phi(0) + L(\phi)$  for  $\phi \in D(A_L)$ .*

The second equation above follows from the fact that  $(B\phi)(0) = 0$  for  $\phi \in D(B)$ . As a result, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.3** *If  $\phi \in D(A_L)$ , the mild solution  $u(t, \phi)$  is a strong solution.*

### 3 Growth bound and compact semigroups

The axiom (H-4) says that, if  $\Re \lambda > \gamma$ ,  $\varepsilon_\lambda$  is regarded as an element of  $\mathcal{L}(E, \mathcal{B})$ , the Banach space of bounded linear operators on  $E$  into  $\mathcal{B}$ . We have the following estimate for the abscissa  $\gamma$ . Let  $S_0(t)$  be the restriction of  $S(t)$  to the subspace  $\mathcal{B}_0 = \{\phi \in \mathcal{B} : \phi(0) = 0\}$ .

**Theorem 3.1** *If  $\Re \lambda > \omega_s(S_0)$ , then  $\varepsilon_\lambda$  lies in  $\mathcal{L}(E, \mathcal{B})$ , and it is holomorphic for  $\lambda$ . Hence the abscissa  $\gamma$  in (H-4) satisfies  $\gamma \leq \omega_s(S_0)$ .*

**Theorem 3.2** *For the semigroup  $S_0(t)$ , the essential growth bound is the same as the growth bound:  $\omega_e(S_0) = \omega_s(S_0)$ .*

Let  $\mathcal{BU}_\gamma$  be the space of continuous functions  $\phi : (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow E$  such that  $e^{-\gamma\theta}\phi(\theta)$  is bounded, uniformly continuous for  $\theta \in (-\infty, 0]$ , and define the norm in this space as  $\|\phi\| = \sup\{e^{-\gamma\theta}|\phi(\theta)| : \theta \in (-\infty, 0]\}$ . Then this space satisfies the axioms (H-1,2,3,4), and  $\gamma$  in the definition is the abscissa of the exponent of this space.

Another space for  $\mathcal{B}$  is made of the set of measurable functions  $\phi : (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow E$  such that  $e^{-\gamma\theta}|\phi(\theta)|$  is integrable on  $(-\infty, 0]$ , where the seminorm is defined by

$$\|\phi\| = |\phi(0)| + \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-\gamma\theta} |\phi(\theta)| d\theta.$$

Denote by  $E \times \mathcal{L}_\gamma$  the quotient space with respect to this seminorm. Then this space is a Banach space satisfying (H-1,2,3,4), and  $\gamma$  is the abscissa of the exponent.

**Theorem 3.3** *If  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{BU}_\gamma$  or  $E \times \mathcal{L}_\gamma$ , then  $\omega_e(S_0) = \omega_s(S_0) = \gamma$ .*

Suppose that the original semigroup  $T(t)$  is a compact semigroup. Then  $K_L(t) = U_L(t) - U_0(t)$  is a compact operator for  $t > 0$ . This implies that  $\alpha(U_L(t)) = \alpha(U_0(t))$  for  $t > 0$ . The following theorem follows from the definition of the essential growth bound.

**Theorem 3.4** *If  $T(t)$  is a compact semigroup, then  $\omega_e(U_L) = \omega_e(U_0)$ .*

We have the estimate of  $\alpha(U_0(t))$  in terms of  $H, K(r), M(r)$  in the axioms of the phase space  $\mathcal{B}$ , and the constant  $\gamma_T = \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow 0} \|T(t)\|$ .

**Theorem 3.5** *Let  $T(t)$  be a compact semigroup on  $E$ . Then the following estimates hold for  $t > 0$ .*

(i)  $\alpha(U_0(t)) \leq C_1 \overline{\lim}_{s \rightarrow t-0} M(s)$ , where  $C_1 = H \overline{\lim}_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} K(\epsilon) \max\{1, \gamma_T\} + \overline{\lim}_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} M(\epsilon)$ .

(ii) *Suppose that every bounded, continuous function  $\phi : (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow E$  lies in  $\mathcal{B}$  in the manner that  $\sup\{|\phi(\theta)| : \theta \in (-\infty, 0]\} \leq J\|\phi\|$ , where  $J$  is a constant independent of  $\phi$ . Then  $\alpha(U_0(t)) \leq (1 + JH)C_1 \overline{\lim}_{s \rightarrow t-0} \alpha(S_0(s))$ .*

## 4 An example

Let  $E = L^2([0, \pi], C)$ , the set of square integrable functions on  $[0, \pi]$ . Consider the equation

$$u'(t) = Au(t) + b \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-c(t-s)} u(s) ds, \quad (4.1)$$

where  $A$  is defined as  $Af = f''$  for  $f \in E$  such that  $f$  is continuously differentiable, the derivative  $f'$  is absolutely continuous,  $f'' \in E$ , and that  $f(0) = f(\pi) = 0$ . It is well known that  $A$  is a closed linear operator with dense domain. It is self adjoint, the spectrum of  $A$  consists of only point spectrum  $\lambda = -n^2, n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $R(\lambda, A)$  has a pole of order 1 at these points, and  $|R(\lambda, A)| \leq 1/|\lambda + 1|$  for  $\Re \lambda > -1$ . Hence  $A$  is the infinitesimal generator of a  $C_0$  semigroup  $T(t)$  such that  $|T(t)| \leq e^{-t}$  for  $t \geq 0$ . Furthermore,  $T(t)$  is a compact semigroup.

Notice that

$$|L(\phi)| := \left| b \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{c\theta} \phi(\theta) d\theta \right| \leq |b| \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{(c+\gamma)\theta} e^{-\gamma\theta} |\phi(\theta)| d\theta.$$

If  $\gamma = -c$ , we have that  $|L(\phi)| \leq |b|\|\phi\|$  for  $\phi \in E \times \mathcal{L}_{-c}$ . If  $\gamma > -c$ , we have that  $|L(\phi)| \leq |b|(c + \gamma)^{-1}\|\phi\|$  for  $\phi \in \mathcal{BU}_\gamma$ . Hence, we can take the space  $E \times \mathcal{L}_{-c}$  or  $\mathcal{BU}_\gamma, \gamma > -c$ , for the phase space of Equation (4.1).

The characteristic operator  $\Delta(\lambda)$  now becomes

$$\Delta(\lambda)f = \lambda f - Af - b \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{(c+\lambda)\theta} d\theta f \quad f \in D(A), \quad \Re \lambda > \gamma \geq -c.$$

If we set  $h(\lambda) = \lambda - b/(c + \lambda)$  for  $\Re \lambda > -c$ , then we can write  $\Delta(\lambda) = h(\lambda)I - A$ . Since, from Theorem 3.5 (i),  $\omega_e(U_L) \leq \gamma$ , the spectrum of  $A_L$  in  $\Re \lambda > \gamma$  consists of only normal eigenvalues. Let  $\lambda$  be such an eigenvalue. Then from [4] it follows that  $N(\Delta(\lambda)) \neq \{0\}$ . Thus we see that  $h(\lambda) = -n^2$  for some  $-n^2 \in P_\sigma(A)$ . Set  $\Lambda_n = \{\lambda : h(\lambda) = -n^2, \Re \lambda > -c\}$  and  $\Lambda = \cup_{n \geq 1} \Lambda_n$ .

The equation  $h(\lambda) = -n^2$  becomes  $(\lambda + c)(\lambda + n^2) = b$ , which has the roots  $\kappa_n = [-(c + n^2) - \sqrt{D}]/2$ ,  $\lambda_n = [-(c + n^2) + \sqrt{D}]/2$ , where  $D = (c + n^2)^2 - 4(cn^2 - b)$ . We are interested in the roots whose real parts are greater than  $-c$ .

### Proposition 4.1

Case (i):  $b > 0$ .  $\Lambda = \{\lambda_n : n \geq 1\}$ , and  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \dots > \lambda_n \rightarrow -c$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ).

(i-1):  $c \leq 0$ .  $\lambda_n$  are all positive; (i-2):  $c > 0$ . If  $0 < b < c$ ,  $\lambda_n$  are all negative. If  $b = n^2 c, n \geq 1$ , then  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \dots > \lambda_n = 0 > \lambda_{n+1} > \dots$ . If  $n^2 c < b < (n+1)^2 c, n \geq 1$ , then  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \dots > \lambda_n > 0 > \lambda_{n+1} > \dots$ .

Case (ii):  $b \leq 0$ .  $\Lambda$  is an empty set or finite set.

(ii-1):  $c \leq 1$ .  $\Lambda = \emptyset$ ; (ii-2):  $c > 1$ . There exists an integer  $n_c$  such that  $\Lambda = \{\kappa_n, \lambda_n : 1 \leq n \leq n_c\}$ , and the following cases occur. If  $b < -(c-1)^2/4$ , then  $\kappa_n, \lambda_n$  are all imaginary numbers with real part  $x_n \leq x_1 = -(c+1)/2$ . If  $-(c-1)^2/4 \leq b \leq 0$ , then, for some  $k \leq n_c$ , the first  $k$  terms of both  $\{\kappa_n\}$  and  $\{\lambda_n\}$  are real numbers for which  $\lambda_1 < 0$  is the maximum number, and the rest terms are imaginary numbers whose real parts are less than  $\lambda_1$ .

**Theorem 4.2** Suppose that  $\lambda_n \in \Lambda$ . If  $b = 0$  or  $\lambda_n$  is a simple root of  $h(\lambda) = -n^2$ , then  $R(\lambda, A_L)$  has a pole of order 1 at  $\lambda = \lambda_n$ .  $M_{\lambda_0}(A_L) = N(A_L - \lambda_n I)$  consists of functions  $\phi(\theta, x) = a_0 e^{\lambda_n \theta} \sin nx$ , where  $a_0$  is an arbitrary complex constant.

If  $\lambda_n$  is a double root of  $h(\lambda) = -n^2$ , then  $R(\lambda, A_L)$  has a pole of order 2 at  $\lambda = \lambda_n$ .  $M_{\lambda_0}(A_L) = N((A_L - \lambda_n I)^2)$  consists of functions  $\phi(\theta, x) = (a_0 + a_1 \theta) e^{\lambda_n \theta} \sin nx$ , where  $a_0, a_1$  are arbitrary complex constants.

**Proof** From the theorem [4],  $\phi \in N(A_L - \lambda_n)$  if and only if  $\phi = \varepsilon_{\lambda_n} \otimes f$



for some  $f \in E$  such that  $\Delta(\lambda_n)f = 0$ . Recall that

$$\Delta(\lambda_n)f = (\lambda_n I - A - \frac{b}{\lambda_n + c}I)f = (-n^2 - A)f.$$

From the definition of  $A$  it follows that  $f(x) = a_0 \sin nx, 0 \leq x \leq \pi$ .

In the similar manner,  $\phi \in N((A_L - \lambda_n)^2)$  if and only if  $\phi = \varepsilon_{\lambda_n} \otimes f_0 + \varepsilon'_{\lambda_n} \otimes f_1$  for some  $f_0, f_1$  such that  $D_1(\lambda_n)\text{col}[f_0, f_1] = 0$ , i.e.

$$\Delta(\lambda_n)f_0 + \Delta'(\lambda_n)f_1 = 0 \quad \Delta(\lambda_n)f_1 = 0.$$

It is easy to see that  $\Delta'(\lambda_n) = (1 + b(\lambda_n + c)^{-2})I$ .

Now consider the condition that  $h(\lambda) = -n^2$  and  $\Delta'(\lambda) = 0$ , that is,

$$(\lambda + c)(\lambda + n^2) = b \quad 1 + b(\lambda + c)^{-2} = 0.$$

From the second equation,  $b \neq 0$ . Eliminating  $b$ , we have that  $\lambda + n^2 = -(\lambda + c)$ ; hence,  $\lambda = -(c + n^2)/2 = x_n$ , the  $x$  coordinate of the minimum point of  $\Gamma_n$ . This means that,  $\Delta'(\lambda_n) = 0$  if and only if  $b \neq 0$  and  $\lambda_n$  is a double root of  $h(\lambda) = -n^2$ .

Suppose that  $b = 0$  or  $\lambda_n$  is a simple root. From the equation  $\Delta(\lambda_n)f_1 = 0$ , we have  $f_1(x) = a_1 \sin nx$ . Set  $a = (1 + b(\lambda_n + 1)^{-2})a_1$ . Then  $a \neq 0$  if and only if  $a_1 \neq 0$ , and the equation  $\Delta(\lambda_n)f_0 + \Delta'(\lambda_n)f_1 = 0$  becomes

$$-n^2 f(x) - f''(x) + a \sin nx = 0 \quad f(0) = f(\pi) = 0.$$

The solution of the first, differential equation is

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \cos nx \left[ c_1 + (2n)^{-1}a \left( (2n)^{-1} \sin 2nx - x \right) \right] \\ &+ \sin nx \left( c_0 - (2n)^{-1}a \cos 2nx \right). \end{aligned}$$

It satisfies the boundary condition if and only if  $c_1 = a = 0$ . Thus we have that  $f_1(x) = 0, f_0(x) = c_0 \sin nx$ . Thus the function  $\phi$  lies in  $N((A_L - \lambda_n I)^2)$  if and only if

$$\phi(\theta, x) = c_0 e^{\lambda_n \theta} \sin nx.$$

This shows that  $N(A_L - \lambda_n I)^2 = N(A_L - \lambda_n I)$ . Thus the eigenspace is the generalized eigenspace of dimension 1, and  $R(\lambda_n, A_L)$  has a simple pole at  $\lambda_n$ .

Suppose that  $b \neq 0$  and  $\lambda_n$  is a double root. Then  $\Delta(\lambda_n)f_0 = \Delta(\lambda_n)f_1 = 0$ ; hence  $\phi(\theta, x)$  is given as in the theorem. To show that  $N((A_L - \lambda_n)^3) = N((A_L - \lambda_n)^2)$ , consider the equation  $D_2(\lambda_n)\text{col}[f_0, f_1, f_2] = 0$ . Since

$$\Delta''(\lambda_n) = -2b(\lambda_n + c)^{-3}I,$$

the equation becomes

$$\Delta(\lambda_n)f_0 + \alpha f_2 = 0 \quad \Delta(\lambda_n)f_1 = 0 \quad \Delta(\lambda_n)f_2 = 0,$$

where  $\alpha = -2b(\lambda_n + c)^{-3}$ . From the second equation, it follows that  $f_1(x) = a_1 \sin nx$ . Since  $\alpha \neq 0$ , we can apply the result above for the first, and the third equation. As a result, it follows that  $f_0(x) = a_0 \sin nx$ ,  $f_2(x) = 0$ . Hence,  $N((A_L - \lambda_n)^3) \subset N((A_L - \lambda_n)^2)$ , and  $N((A_L - \lambda_n I)^2)$  is the generalized eigenspace of dimension 2, and  $R(\lambda, A)$  has a pole of order 2 at  $\lambda_n$ .

Define a curve  $b = \chi(c)$  in the  $c$ - $b$  plane by  $\chi(c) = 0$ ,  $c \leq 1$ ;  $\chi(c) = -(c-1)^2/4$ ,  $c > 1$ . Following the Proposition 4.1, we divide  $c$ - $b$  plane into the subregions as  $\Pi_1 : b > \chi(c), -\infty < c < \infty$ ,  $\Pi_2 : b \leq \chi(c), c > 1$ ,  $\Pi_3 : b \leq \chi(c), c \leq 1$ .

**Theorem 4.3** *Take the space  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}U_\gamma$ . If  $\gamma > -c$  is sufficiently close to  $-c$ , then the growth bound of  $U_L$  becomes as follows:*

$$\omega_s(U_L) = \begin{cases} \lambda_1 & \text{if } (c, b) \in \Pi_1 \\ -(c+1)/2 & \text{if } (c, b) \in \Pi_2. \end{cases}$$

If  $(c, b) \in \Pi_3$ , then  $\omega_s(U_L) \leq \gamma$  whenever  $\gamma > -c$ .

**Theorem 4.4** *Take the space  $\mathcal{B} = E \times \mathcal{L}_{-c}$ . Then the growth bound, and the essential growth bound of  $U_L$  becomes as follows:*

$$\omega_e(U_L) = -c, \quad \omega_s(U_L) = \begin{cases} \lambda_1 & \text{if } (c, b) \in \Pi_1 \\ -(c+1)/2 & \text{if } (c, b) \in \Pi_2 \\ -c & \text{if } (c, b) \in \Pi_3. \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 4.5** *If  $\mathcal{B} = E \times \mathcal{L}_{-c}$ , then the following assertions hold:*

- (i) If  $(c, b) \in \Pi_1$  and  $b > c$ , then  $\lambda_1 > 0$ , and  $\|U_L(t)\| \geq e^{\lambda_1 t}$  for  $t \geq 0$ ;
- (ii) If  $(c, b) \in \Pi_1$  and  $b = c$ , then there exists an  $M$  such that  $1 \leq \|U_L(t)\| \leq M$

for  $t \geq 0$ . If  $(c, b) \in \Pi_1$  and  $b < c$ , then  $\lambda_1 < 0$ , and  $\|U_L(t)\| \leq M_\epsilon e^{t(\lambda_1 + \epsilon)}$  for  $t \geq 0$ ; (iii) If  $(c, b) \in \Pi_2$ , then  $\|U_L(t)\| \leq M_\epsilon e^{t(-(c+1)/2 + \epsilon)}$  for  $t \geq 0$ .

If  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{BU}_\gamma$ ,  $\gamma > -c$ , then the assertions above hold provided  $\gamma$  is sufficiently close to  $-c$ .

**Theorem 4.6** In the case  $(c, b) \in \Pi_3$ , we have different estimates of  $\|U_L(t)\|$  according to the choice of  $\mathcal{B}$ .

Choose  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{BU}_\gamma$ ,  $\gamma > -c$ . If  $c > 0$ , then we can take a negative  $\gamma$  and  $\|U_L(t)\| \leq M_\epsilon e^{t(\gamma + \epsilon)}$  for  $t \geq 0$ . If  $c \leq 0$ , then  $\gamma$  becomes positive, and we only know that  $\|U_L(t)\| \leq M_\epsilon e^{t(\gamma + \epsilon)}$  for  $t \geq 0$ .

Choose  $\mathcal{B} = E \times \mathcal{L}_{-c}$ . If  $c > 0$ , then  $\|U_L(t)\| \leq M_\epsilon e^{t(-c + \epsilon)}$  for  $t \geq 0$ . If  $c < 0$ , then  $\|U_L(t)\| \geq e^{-ct}$  for  $t \geq 0$ . If  $c = 0$ ,  $b \leq 0$ , then  $\|U_L(t)\| \geq 1$ .

**Corollary 4.7** Take the phase space as  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{BU}_\gamma$ ,  $\gamma > -c$ . If  $\gamma$  is sufficiently close to  $-c$ , then the null solution of Equation (4.1) has the following stability: if  $b = c > 0$ , it is stable but not asymptotically stable; if  $c > 0$ ,  $c > b$ , it is exponentially asymptotically stable. If  $(c, b) \in \Pi_1$ ,  $b > c$ , then the null solution of Equation (4.1) is not stable for any choice of  $\gamma > -c$ .

**Corollary 4.8** Take the phase space as  $\mathcal{B} = E \times \mathcal{L}_{-\gamma}$ . The null solution of Equation (4.1) is exponentially asymptotically stable if and only if  $c > 0$  and  $b < c$ . If  $c > 0$  and  $b = c$ , it is stable but not asymptotically stable. If  $c < 0$ , or if  $c \geq 0$  and  $b > c$ , then it is not stable.

In the case  $c = 0$  the equation becomes

$$u'(t) = Au(t) + b \int_{-\infty}^0 u(t + \theta) d\theta.$$

Since  $|T(t)f| \leq e^{-t}|f|$  for  $t \geq 0$ ,  $f \in E$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|U_0(t)\phi\| &= |T(t)\phi(0)| + \int_{-t}^0 |T(t+\theta)\phi(0)| d\theta + \int_{-\infty}^{-t} |\phi(t+\theta)| d\theta \\ &\leq e^{-t}|\phi(0)| + \int_0^t e^{-s}|\phi(0)| ds + \int_{-\infty}^0 |\phi(s)| ds \\ &= |\phi(0)| + \int_{-\infty}^0 |\phi(\theta)| d\theta = \|\phi\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have that  $\|U_0(t)\| \leq 1$  for  $t \geq 1$ . Since  $\|U_0(t)\| \geq \alpha(U_0(t)) \geq 1$ , it follows that  $\|U_0(t)\| = \alpha(U_0(t)) \equiv 1$  in the space  $E \times \mathcal{L}_0$ .

If  $b = 0$ , then  $U_L(t) = U_0(t)$ , and the null solution is stable. If  $b > 0$ , the null solution is not stable from Corollary 4.8. If  $b < 0$ , from Theorem 4.6 we only know that  $\|U_L(t)\| \geq 1$ . Is the null solution stable or not? About this interesting problem, Murakami has informed us of the following stability result.

**Theorem 4.9** *If  $c = 0, b < 0$ , the null solution of Equation (4.1) is  $\mathcal{B} - E$  uniformly asymptotically stable: that is, there exists a constant  $M$  such that  $|u(t, \phi)| \leq M\|\phi\|$  for  $t \geq 0, \phi \in \mathcal{B}$ , and for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist a  $\tau(\epsilon) > 0$  such that  $|u(t, \phi)| \leq \epsilon\|\phi\|$  for  $t > \tau(\epsilon), \phi \in \mathcal{B}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\{f_n\}, n = 1, 2, \dots$ , be the complete orthonormal system of the self adjoint operator  $A$ , and set  $u^n(t) = \langle u(t), f^n \rangle$ . Then  $u(t) = \sum_{n \geq 1} u^n(t) f^n$ , and  $T(t)u(0) = \sum_{n \geq 1} e^{-n^2 t} u^n(0) f^n, t \geq 0$ . Since

$$L(u_s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \langle L(u_s), f^n \rangle f^n,$$

it follows that

$$T(t-s)L(u_s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} e^{-n^2(t-s)} \langle L(u_s), f^n \rangle f^n.$$

From the definition of  $L$ , it follows that

$$\langle L(u_s), f^n \rangle = \langle b \int_{-\infty}^0 u(s+\theta) d\theta, f^n \rangle = b \int_{-\infty}^s \langle u(r), f^n \rangle dr.$$

Hence  $u^n(t)$  satisfies the equation

$$u^n(t) = e^{-n^2 t} u^n(0) + \int_0^t e^{-n^2(t-s)} b \int_{-\infty}^s u^n(r) dr ds.$$

Taking the derivatives successively, we know that  $u^n(t)$  satisfies the equation

$$x'(t) = -n^2 x(t) + b \int_{-\infty}^t x(r) dr$$

with the initial condition  $x(\theta) = \phi^n(\theta) := \langle \phi(\theta), f^n \rangle, \theta \in (-\infty, 0]$ , and the equation  $x''(t) = -n^2 x'(t) + bx(t)$  with the initial conditions

$$x(0) = \phi^n(0), \quad x'(0) = -n^2 \phi^n(0) + b \int_{-\infty}^0 \phi^n(\theta) d\theta.$$

Set  $\lambda_{\pm}^n = (-n^2 \pm \sqrt{D_n})/2, D_n = n^4 + 4b$ . If  $D_n \neq 0$ , the solution is given as

$$\begin{aligned} u^n(t) &= x(t) \\ &= \frac{-\lambda_-^n x(0) + x'(0)}{\sqrt{D_n}} e^{\lambda_+^n t} + \frac{\lambda_+^n x(0) - x'(0)}{\sqrt{D_n}} e^{\lambda_-^n t} \\ &= \frac{(n^2 + \sqrt{D_n})e^{\lambda_-^n t} - (n^2 - \sqrt{D_n})e^{\lambda_+^n t}}{2\sqrt{D_n}} \phi^n(0) \\ &\quad + \frac{(e^{\lambda_+^n t} - e^{\lambda_-^n t})b}{\sqrt{D_n}} \int_{-\infty}^0 \phi^n(\theta) d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

If  $D_n = 0$ , then  $\lambda_{\pm}^n = -n^2/2$ , and the solution is given as

$$\begin{aligned} u^n(t) &= x(t) \\ &= \left[ (1 + (n^2 t/2))x(0) + tx'(0) \right] e^{-n^2 t/2} \\ &= \left[ (1 - (n^2 t/2))\phi^n(0) + bt \int_{-\infty}^0 \phi^n(\theta) d\theta \right] e^{-n^2 t/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $b < 0$ , it follows that  $\Re \lambda_{\pm}^n < 0$  for  $n \geq 1$ , and there exists a constant  $c_1$  such that, for  $D_n \neq 0$ ,

$$|u^n(t)| \leq c_1 \left[ |\phi^n(0)| + \frac{|b|}{\sqrt{|D_n|}} \int_{-\infty}^0 |\phi^n(\theta)| d\theta \right],$$

and for  $D_n = 0$ ,

$$|u^n(t)| \leq c_1 \left[ |\phi^n(0)| + |b| \int_{-\infty}^0 |\phi^n(\theta)| d\theta \right].$$

Since

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 |\phi^n(\theta)| d\theta \leq \int_{-\infty}^0 |\phi(\theta)| d\theta$$

for  $n \geq 1$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |u^n(t)|^2 \right)^{1/2} &\leq c_1 \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\phi^n(0)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad + c_1 |b| \left( 1 + \sum_{n^4+4b \neq 0} \frac{1}{|D_n|} \right)^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^0 |\phi(\theta)| d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

for  $t \geq 0$ . Thus there exists a constant  $M$  such that

$$|u(t, \phi)| \leq M \|\phi\| \quad \text{for } t \geq 0, \phi \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Take an  $N$  such that  $D_n > 0$  for  $n \geq N$ . For  $n \geq N$ , set

$$h_n = (n^2 + \sqrt{D_n})/2\sqrt{D_n}, \quad k_n = (n^2 - \sqrt{D_n})/2\sqrt{D_n}.$$

Since  $e^{\lambda_-^n t} \leq e^{-t}$ ,  $e^{\lambda_+^n t} \leq 1$ , it follows that

$$|u^n(t)| \leq (|h_n|e^{-t} + |k_n|)|\phi^n(0)| + \frac{2|b|}{\sqrt{D_n}} \int_{-\infty}^0 |\phi(\theta)| d\theta.$$

Set  $H_m = \sup\{|h_n| : n \geq m\}$ ,  $K_m = \sup\{|k_n| : n \geq m\}$ ,  $m \geq N$ . Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |h_n| = 1$ , and since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n = 0$ ,  $H_m$  is bounded,  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} K_m = 0$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{n \geq m} |u^n(t)|^2 \right)^{1/2} &\leq (H_m e^{-t} + K_m) \left( \sum_{n \geq m} |\phi^n(0)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad + \left( \sum_{n \geq m} \frac{4|b|^2}{D_n} \right)^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^0 |\phi(\theta)| d\theta \\ &\leq \left( H_m e^{-t} + K_m + \left( \sum_{n \geq m} \frac{4|b|^2}{D_n} \right)^{1/2} \right) \|\phi\|. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then there exist  $m = m(\epsilon) \geq N$  and  $\tau_1(\epsilon)$  such that

$$\left( \sum_{n \geq m} |u^n(t)|^2 \right)^{1/2} < \epsilon \|\phi\| \quad \text{for } t > \tau_1(\epsilon), \phi \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Since  $|u^n(t, \phi)| \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly for  $1 \leq n < m$  and for  $\phi$  such that  $\|\phi\| \leq 1$ , there exists a  $\tau_2(\epsilon) > 0$  such that  $(\sum_{n < m} |u^n(t)|^2)^{1/2} < \epsilon$  provided  $t \geq \tau_2(\epsilon)$ ,  $\|\phi\| \leq 1$ . Consequently, it follows that, if  $t > \tau_3(\epsilon) := \max\{\tau_1(\epsilon), \tau_2(\epsilon)\}$  and if  $\|\phi\| \leq 1$ , then  $|u(t, \phi)| < \sqrt{2}\epsilon$ . Since  $u(t, \phi)$  is linear in  $\phi$ , it follows that  $|u(t, \phi)| \leq \epsilon \|\phi\|$  for  $t > \tau(\epsilon) := \tau_3(\epsilon/\sqrt{2})$ ,  $\phi \in \mathcal{B}$ .

## REFERENCES

1. Hale J.K. and Kato J., Phase space for retarded equations with infinite delay, Funkcial. Ekvac., **21**, 11-41(1978).
2. Hale J.K. and Lunel S.M.V., *Introduction to Functional Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, (1991).
3. Murakami S., Stability for functional differential equations with infinite delay in Banach space, unpublished.
4. Naito T., Shin J.S. and Murakami S., On solution semigroups of general functional differential equations, to appear in the Proc. of the WCNA96, Elsevier Sci. Ltd.
5. Shin J.S., Comparison theorems and uniqueness of mild solutions to semilinear functional differential equations in Banach spaces, Nonlinear Analysis, T.M.A., **23**, 825-847(1994).
6. Travis C.C. and Webb G.F., Existence and stability for partial functional differential equations, Trans.A.M.S., **200**, 395-418 (1974).
7. Webb G.F., *Theory of Nonlinear Age-dependent Population Dynamics*, Marcel Dekker, INC., (1985).